

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

REVIEWS AND BOOK NOTICES.

F. W. Schmidt. Kritische Studien zu den griechischen Dramatikern. Nebst einem Anhang zur Kritik der Anthologie. 2 Bde. Berlin, Weidmannsche Buchhandlung, 1886.

Among the many books that have loaded the editor's table for months and mutely appealed for some notice, none makes stronger demand for sympathy than Prof. F. W. Schmidt's 'Kritische Studien zu den griechischen Dramatikern.' In these two volumes we have the results of a long life of patient study and devotion, and even if, as must needs be the case, a large proportion of the emendations proposed cannot hope for the heaven of the conjectural critic, incorporation into the text, still the erudition and acumen with which the emendations are commended make the work one of great interest and usefulness, and young students may learn much from the way in which the peccant verses are handled. To discuss these hundreds of conjectures is, of course, out of the question—the space at the command of the Journal is too limited—but it was thought that a simple registration of the changes made in those plays that are most frequently read in American schools and colleges might be of service to teachers and scholars, and might be considered as a tribute of respect to the veteran critic. But it has turned out that in the course of registration sundry observations have forced themselves on the writer, and the notice has grown insensibly into its present bulk. It is hoped, however, that the objections raised here and there will not seem to imply similar dissent all through—and yet it would be a want of candor to say that the results of this prodigious labor commend themselves to any considerable degree as successes. To be a success an emendation must fill the mind of a student with perfect peace, and many, I venture to say most, of Professor Schmidt's emendations irritate rather than soothe. Nay, if found in the text, some of his favorites would have been counted as thorns, not roses. An emendation that needs a highly artificial translation, such as the one to be cited on Aischyl. Ag. 663, is self-condemned; and while in So. O. R. 370: $\tau \hat{a} \pi \hat{a} \nu \tau a$ might not have been turned out of the text if found in the MSS, why foist it in despite the excellent tradition, to the weakening of the $\pi a \rho \dot{\eta} \chi \eta \sigma \iota \zeta$ and the effacement of the tumultuous passion that marks the hasty utterance of Oidipus? The surprise of $\tau \acute{a} \tau \acute{b} \tau a$ is part of the dramaturgy. But we will not anticipate.

AISCHYLOS, P. V. 2 read Σκύθην ἀκύμον'. 27 οὐ πέφυκέ σοι. 292 μείζον' ὰν ὤραν (Burges, νείμαιμ' ἢ σοῦ). 442 τάδε βροτοῖς δωρ ἡματα. 1031 ἀλλ' ἐκκαρδίας εἰρημένος.

Sept. 244 θ ο \tilde{v} ρ ος γὰρ ᾿Αρης. 308 ῥευμάτων for πωμάτων. 590 οὐκ ὄγκω νέμων for εὕκυκλον νέμων of the second hand. (Verrall says that νέμων has no meaning, in other words, denies that it can be used as νωμῶν). 811 \tilde{t} σ θ ' $\dot{\omega}$ ς ἀδελφαῖς χερσὶν ἡναίροντ' ἄ μ α . | οὕτως ὁ δαίμων κοινὸς ἡν ἀμφοῖν ἄ ρ α . 1009 ἐν π ά λ η (anticipated by Stadtmüller). 1025 ὧδε for τῷδε (with a valuable list of parallels).

Ag. 97 καὶ θέμις εἰπεῖν. 327-8 τάλανες κασιγνήτων τε κτέ. | ἀνδρῶν τεκόντων τ' οὐκέτ' κτέ., τεκών and γέρων being elsewhere confounded; 360 τάχα δειλαίας for μέγα δουλείας. 418 δωμάτων έν έρημίαις. 492 τὸ νύχιον for τόδ' ἐλθόν. 515 θεῶν for φίλον. 520 φαιδροῖσιν ὅμμασιν τὰ νῦν. 549 σκληρὰς δ' ἀπόντων κοιράνων ἔτλης τύ χας. 615-16 αῦτη μὲν εἰποῦσ' εἰρπε μανθάνοντί σοι | τορόν τιν' έρμηνεῖσι δὴ τοροῖς λόγον. 617 τῆς στολῆς κοινὸν κράτος. 626 πότερον ἀναχθεὶς ἦλθε μόνος ἐξ Ιλίου, [ἢ χεῖμ' ἐκεῖνον ἄλλοσ' ήρπασ' ἐκ στρατοῦ ; 635 ἐλθεῖν τε λυπῆσαί τε κτέ. 663 ὅστις ἐστὶ δὴ θεῶν (d. h. wer den Göttern zugethan ist) for χωρὶς ή τιμὴ θεῶν. 640 πόλει μὲν έλκος ή δὲ πολεμίαν τύχην. 674 Μενέλεω γ' ἐμοὶ | πρῶτόν τε καὶ μάλιστά τοι δόκει μέλειν. 676 εἰσορῷ for ἱστορεῖ. 846 ἐμμενεῖ or αν μενεῖ. 854 τύχη for νίκη. 859 δείξω βίον for λέξω β., a common confusion. 886 δόλον τρέφει for δ , $\phi \hat{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \iota$. 912 $\sigma \hat{v} v \theta \epsilon o i \varsigma \epsilon \hat{v} \dot{\eta} \mu \epsilon \rho \alpha$. 961 $\delta \gamma \kappa o \varsigma$ with Ty. Mommsen, but 962 ήμιν for έχειν. 899 θερμαίνεις δόμον for σημαίνεις μολών. 1003 έπείγει for έρείδει. 1046 σχήσεις παρ' ήμων. 1048-9 έντὸς δ' άλοῦσα μορσίμων άγρευμάτων | $\pi \varepsilon i\theta o i'$ ∂v , $\partial \tau \pi \alpha \theta o \iota \varsigma \gamma' \dot{a} \pi \varepsilon \iota \theta o \tilde{v} \sigma' \partial v \kappa \alpha \kappa \tilde{\omega} \varsigma$, to the effacement of one of Hermann's pet lines (A. J. P. VI 487), and in the teeth of the echoing verse 1393 χαίροιτ' ἄν, εὶ χαίροιτ' which S. ends thus: ἐγὼ μέγ' ήδομαι (comp. also So. O. R. 936). 1052 εἴσω φρενῶν ἂν οὖσα π είθοι' ἂν λόγω. 1124 τελεῖ or $\pi \varepsilon \lambda \tilde{a}$. 1129 στέγαν for τύχαν. 1133 κακᾶν γὰρ δυᾶν. 1200 γονἢ for $\pi \delta \lambda \iota \nu$. 1256 νέ ω τὸ πῦρ μ' ἐπέρχεται μέν ει. 1285 τί δῆτα δ' ο ὖ σ' ἐ π α κ τ ὸ ς ὧδ' ἀναστένω; 1290 ϊθ', οὐ στενάξω: τλήσομαι τὸ κατθανεῖν οι ἰοῦσ' ἄρ' εἴσω κτέ. 1430 κἂν τήνδ' ἀκούοις. 1497 $\mu \eta \delta \varepsilon \wr \varsigma \lambda \acute{\varepsilon} \xi \eta \delta'$ | 'Αγαμεμνονίαν καίνειν ἄλοχον. 1546 μ ελέων (anticipated by Wecklein) $ai\kappa\tilde{\omega}\varsigma$. 1605 $\tilde{\epsilon}\rho\nu\sigma\varsigma$ for $\dot{\epsilon}\pi\grave{\iota}$ $\delta\dot{\epsilon}\kappa$. 1630 $\pi\dot{a}\nu\tau a$ τ σ ι . 1654 \dot{a} μ \dot{a} γὰρ τάδ' ἐξαμῆσαι πρότερα δύστηνον θέρος. 1659 εἰ δ' ἄκος μόχθων γένοιτο τωνδ' άλις πεπληγμένοι | δαίμονος χολή βαρεία δυσμενοῦς, δεχοίμεθ' \mathring{a} ν. 1669 π a $\tilde{\iota}$ ζ' \mathring{a} γ \mathring{a} λ λ o v $\delta \mathring{\eta}$ μ ιαίνων τ $\mathring{\eta}$ ν δ ίκην, $\mathring{\epsilon}$ τ a ι ς γ ' \mathring{a} ρ \mathring{a} . 1670 $\mathring{\iota}$ σ † ι μοι δώσων ἀποινα τῆσδ' ἐπηρείας, γέρον.

SOPHOKLES, Ai. 65 ἀγραν ἄ γ ω ν (ἀγω and ἔχω being often confounded); 270 οὐ κάτοιδα σο ὺς λόγονς. 461 προδούς (anticipated by Mekler) τ' 'Ατρείδας. 523 οὐκ ἀν π έλοι τοιοῦτος for οὐκ ἀν γένοιτ' ἔθ' οὐτος (see A. J. P. III 491, where this verse is discussed). 781–2 transpose πέμπει and Τεῦκρος. 784 δύσμορ' ἐμπέδως. 923 οἰος ὼν σύ γ' ὡς ἔχεις. 988–9 ἰθ' ἐγκόνει, σύγκαμν' ὅτ' ὀρφανοῖσί τοι | φιλοῦσιν ἄ ν δρες δνσμενεῖς ἐπεγγελᾶν (θανοῦσι and κειμένοις of the text displaced are no more tautological than Eur. famous τέθνασιν οἱ θανόντες). 1054 δῆτ' ὅντ' ἔτ' οτ τηροῦντες for ζητοῦντες, which, acc. to Schmidt, cannot mean ἐξετάζοντες. 1111 στόλον πολλοὶ λεψ. 1195 ἔτευξεν for ἔδειξεν. 1307 ψέγων for λέγων, with Erfurdt, a common confusion as between ψόγος and λόγος. 1324 ἤκουσεν ἐ χ θ ρ ά.

Ο. R. 140 κὰμ' ὰν τοιαύτη χειρί ποτ' ἐναίρειν θέλοι. 273 Καδμείοις θ' ὅσοις. 284–5 ἄνακτι Φοίβω κτέ | μάλιστα φωρ ᾶν κτέ. 296 ῷ μὴ ἔστι δρ ᾶν τι. 360 ὴ ἑτέρα λέγω; cf. Ar. Ran. 64 ἢ ἔτέρα φμάσω; 370 τὰ πάντα for τὰ τ' ὧτα. 374 διαστρέφη (for μιᾶς τρέφη) πρὸς νυκτός, an emendation which suggests Ar. Eq. 175 εὐδαιμονήσω δ' εἰ διαστραφήσομαι. As Teiresias was blind, the literal meaning lies too near, and could anything be more Sophoklean than τρέφη? 389 εὖ μὲν δέδορκε. 420 foll. S. rewrites thus βοῆς δὲ σῆς τίς ο ὖ κ ὰ κ ο ὑ σ ε τα ι μ έ λ η οr βοῆς τε τῆς σῆς το ῦ ποτ' οὐκ ἔσται μ ε λ η, and then with greater confidence π ο ἱ ο ι ς Κιθαιρὼν οὐχὶ σύμφωνος γ ὁ ο ι ς, | ὅταν καταίσθη τὸν ὑμέναιον, ὃν

πάλαι | ἄνορμον εἰσέπλευσας, εὐπλοίας τυχών; Whereon it may be remarked that the language of Teiresias is designedly obscure, and to flatten out such a passage is not to emend it. The λιμήν of v. 420 is a fearful foreshadowing of v. 1208, & μέγας λιμήν, and the figure, if dark to Oidipus, was plain enough to the audience. Every one who has read the Thesmophoriazusai knows how Aristophanes rings the changes on the 'port' idea (cf. δεῦρ' ἐσπλέων, v. 1106, with O. R. 424 $\epsilon i \sigma \epsilon \pi \lambda \epsilon \nu \sigma a c$), and the same figure is used of the other sex, as is shown by Theogn. 459-60 οὐκ ἄγκυραι ἔχουσιν · ἀπορρήξασα δὲ δεσμὰ | πολλάκις $\dot{\epsilon}$ κ νυκτών ἄλλον $\dot{\epsilon}$ χει λιμένα. Whatever else is changed, λιμήν is not to be changed. 424 λαχών for ἄλλων. 440-41 οὐκ οὖν τοιαῦτ' ἄριστος εὐρίσκειν $\check{\epsilon}\phi\nu\varsigma$; | $\sigma\grave{v}$ $\tau a\check{v}\tau'$ $\acute{o}\nu\epsilon\iota\delta\acute{\iota}\zeta\epsilon\iota\varsigma$, \check{a} μ' $\check{\eta}$ ρ ϵ κ a $\grave{\iota}$ $\mu\acute{\epsilon}\gamma a\nu$, by which we lose the angry retort in εύρήσεις which we want, and gain a lame καί which we can dispense with. 445-6 ώς παρών με δυστομῶν Ιόχλεῖς, συθείς τ' αν οὐκ αν άλγύνοις λέγων. 495 ἐπίσαμον. 597 ἀ ρ χ ῆ ς δ' ἐκείνη ταῦτ' ἔ χ εις ἴσον νέμων; 'beherrschest du aber dies Land (ταῦτ' ἔχεις) mit jener die Herrschaft teilend?' 594 οὐ γὰρ τοσοῦτον. 598 ὧν γὰρ τυχεῖν ἐρῶσι, πάντ' ἐν τῷδ' ἔνι. 600 οὐ τὰν ἐγένετο νοῦς ἐμὸς καλῶς φρονῶν. 608 δήλου δ' ἐλέγχου μή με χωρὶς αἴτιῶ. 635 νεικοῦντες, although, as S. himself notes, the verb is not used in tragic poetry. 676 σοὶ μὲν δοκῶν ἀλλοῖος, ἐν δὲ τοῖσδ' ἴσος. 682 δάκνει δ' ἄγαν τὸ μὴ ἔνδικον. 708-9 οῦνεκ' ἐστί τοι | βρότειον οὐδὲν μαντικὴν ἔχον τέχνην. 715 ξενοκτόνοι 'professional murderers'. 718 ἔρριψ' $\dot{o} \pi a \delta \tilde{\omega} \nu$. 724 ἔχη μέριμναν. 750 ἔχώρησ' οίος. 792 γεννήσοιμ' for δηλώσοιμ'. 930 ναίοις for γένοι'. 936 ήδοιτό γ' ἄν, πῶς δ' $ov\chi$, $\ddot{a}\mu$ ' $\dot{a}\sigma\chi\dot{a}\lambda\lambda$ οι δ' $\ddot{\iota}\sigma\omega\varsigma$. 1013 $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\tau$ $\dot{\iota}$ δ $\dot{\eta}$ φοβο $\ddot{v}v$. 1031 $\dot{\epsilon}\sigma\chi\dot{a}\tau$ ο $\iota\varsigma$ $\ddot{o}v\tau$ ' $\dot{\epsilon}v$ κακοῖς. 1050 ἔ $\sigma\theta$ ' ε \dot{v} ρ ε \tilde{v} ν τάδε. The objection made is to the passive inf. εὐρῆσθαι. Untaught by Wecklein and Schmidt, one would have considered the common text a beautiful example of the force of the perf. inf., and one might have thought that the pass. form was not only more common as a representative of the pass. imperative, but more delicate in the circumstances. See Rehdantz, Indices, s. v. Infin., where there are many passive examples, and add Isok. 5, 103 πάντων γ' αν είη σχετλιώτατος εί μη βούλοιτο καταλελύσθαι ταύτην $\tau \dot{\eta} \nu \dot{a} \rho \chi \dot{\eta} \nu$. Oidipus says in effect $\epsilon \dot{\nu} \rho \dot{\eta} \sigma \theta \omega \tau \dot{a} \delta \epsilon$. 1073 $\lambda \dot{\nu} \sigma \sigma \eta \varsigma$ for $\lambda \dot{\nu} \pi \eta \varsigma$; 1084–5 τοιόσδε δ' έκφὺς οὐκ ἂν ἐξέλθοιμί τις | ἄνθρωπος, οὐ' στι μὴ ἐκμαθεῖν λῷ ον γένος. S. does not translate this 'untadelhaften Gedanken,' nor does he observe that it admits of more than one rendering. Perhaps that gives a Sophoclean charm to the passage. 1137 $\pi \acute{a}\rho o \iota \theta \epsilon \nu \ \vec{\eta} \mu \epsilon \nu \ \dot{\epsilon} \varsigma$ for $\kappa \acute{a}\tau o \iota \delta \epsilon \nu, \ \ddot{\eta} \mu o \varsigma \ \tau \acute{o} \nu \ \kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$. | \acute{o} $\mu \grave{\epsilon} \nu$ κτέ. | κάπλησίαζον κτέ. 1156 τόνδ' εἰ δέδωκας παῖδ' δν οὐτος ἰστορεῖ. 1671 έκ δωμάτων for γεννημάτων. 1267 δεινὰ μ η ν τὰνθένδ' ὁρᾶν. 1284 ἐ σ χ ά τ ω ν for αἰσχύνη is suggested but immediately taken back. 1286 ῥοπη for σχολη. 1291 μενῶν, λόγοις ἀραῖος οὖς ἠράσατο. 1292 πάντως δ' ἀρωγῆς κτέ. 1400 $a\hat{i} \pi a \tau \rho \delta \varsigma a\hat{i}\mu a \kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$. $|\dot{\epsilon}\pi i \epsilon \tau' \dot{\epsilon} \rho \epsilon \mu \nu \delta \nu$, to the utter destruction of the passion of the original, which is perfectly explained by Jebb, αὶ τοὐμὸν αἰμα τῶν ἐμῶν χειρῶν ἄπο | ἐπίετε πατρός. It is a characteristic of Oidipus that he speaks too fast even in quiet passages, how much more natural is the epanorthosis $\pi\alpha\tau\rho\delta\varsigma$ here! 1512 $\hat{\epsilon}\nu$ $\delta\hat{\epsilon}$ $\tau o \tilde{\nu} \tau'$ $\epsilon \tilde{v}$ χ o ς $\phi a \nu \tilde{\omega}$ | $o\tilde{v}$ χ \acute{a} ρ ι ς \mathring{a} ν $\mathring{\eta}$ $\zeta \tilde{\eta} \nu$, with the translation 'hoc tamen unum votum expromam, ut ubicunque libeat (votis vivere) vos vivatis.' 1518 ἄοικον for ἄποικον. 1523 οὕ σοί γ ' ὁ λ βί φ .

Ant. 183 οὐδαμοῦ v έμω. 390 σχολἢ ποθ' ἢξειν δεῦρ', ἀναξ, ηὕχουν ἐγώ. 517 οὐ γὰρ τ ρίδο vλος ἀλλ' ἀδελφὸς ὥλετο. 700 ἀρ' ἡμῖν for ἐρεμνή. 788 ἀ π' ἀνθρώπων. 855 τάλαν for πολύν (La.). 1183 ἀνδρες γεραιοί for Ϫ πάντες ἀστοί.

EURIPIDES. Here we must definitely limit ourselves to two plays.

Alc. 83 ἀεί for $\dot{\epsilon}\mu$ οί. 180 ὅλην for μ όνην. 223 τόνδ' $\dot{\epsilon}$ φρούρεις. 225 ἀπότρεψον or $\delta\pi\omega\sigma\sigma\nu$ for $\delta\pi\delta\pi\alpha\nu\sigma\sigma\nu$. 231 $\delta\tau$ ' $\delta\psi\epsilon\iota$ for $\delta\pi\delta\psi\epsilon\iota$, which would infallibly have been put back if $\xi \tau$, $\delta \psi \varepsilon \iota$ were in the text. This conjecture is, in spite of the respected critic's protest, 'eine blosse Spielerei'. 274 κάλγιον for καὶ παντός. 278 ἔχομεν οι τούμόν for ἐσμέν. 228 πόλλ' for δῶρ'. 304 διαδόχοις for δεσπότας. 313 π $\tilde{\omega}$ ς κορευθήση καλ $\tilde{\omega}$ ς, $\dot{\epsilon}$ τέρας τάχ' οὕσης ; 328 ἄλοχον for εἶχον. 356 κάρτ' $\dot{\epsilon} \, \sigma \, \tau \, i \, \lambda \epsilon \nu \sigma \sigma \epsilon \iota \nu$. 360 $\kappa \, a \, \tau \, \tilde{\eta} \, \delta \, o \, \nu \, \, \tilde{a} \nu$ (with Weidner), the aor, $\kappa a \tau \tilde{\eta} \lambda \theta o \nu$ not being tenable. So 362 εἶργον for ἔσχον. Nauck has in the former passage κατῆα, in the latter $\varepsilon i \chi o \nu$. But the agrist with $\dot{a} \nu$ can be opposed to an agristic present as well as to an agristic past, and Nauck's canon would force us to change many passages or to conceive them unnaturally. To the examples in Kühner, II 974, add Eur. I. A. 1211 sqq., Philem. fr. 129 (4, 48 Mein.), Dem. 18, 76, where $\varepsilon i \gamma \partial \rho \varepsilon i \chi \varepsilon \varsigma$ ($\equiv o \dot{\nu} \kappa \ \dot{\varepsilon} \chi \varepsilon \iota \varsigma$, not $\equiv o \dot{\nu} \kappa \ \varepsilon \dot{\iota} \chi \varepsilon \varsigma$). Isok. 13, 4 $\varepsilon \dot{\iota}$. . . $\dot{\varepsilon} \pi \omega \lambda o \nu \nu$ $(\equiv o\dot{v} \pi \omega \lambda o \bar{v} \sigma i$, not $\equiv o\dot{v} \kappa \dot{\epsilon} \pi \omega \lambda o v v$), $o\dot{v} \kappa \dot{a} v \dot{\eta} \mu \phi i \sigma \beta \dot{\eta} \tau \eta \sigma a v$. In Thuc. 2, 62, 1 $\dot{\epsilon}\chi\rho\eta\sigma\dot{\alpha}u\eta\nu$ may be explained by $\dot{\epsilon}\nu$ $\tau o i c$ $\pi\rho i \nu$ $\lambda \dot{\delta}\gamma o i c$, but it is not necessary to resort to zeugma. 365-6 are to be omitted as an interpolation, and 367 we are to read κάν νερτέροισι. 423 μέλποντες for μένοντες. 459 νερτέρα σε. 481 στόλον for $\pi \delta \nu o \nu$. 495 $\delta \delta \rho \pi o \nu$ for $\chi \delta \rho \tau o \nu$. 526 $\delta \delta \kappa \tau o \nu$ for $\delta \delta c \nu$. Wakefield's $\delta c \nu \sigma \nu$. 'when the time comes,' seems to satisfy the conditions in spite of Dr. Schmidt. 530 λελείμμεθα for μεμνήμεθα. 533 άμοῖς for ἄλλως. 539 μ ' ἔρδοις for ἔλθοι. 540 η δόμους or αν μόλοι for εἰ μόλοι, which it is not the least necessary to disturb. If one must have an explanation of the conditional form, it is given in the preceding verse, μὴ τοσόνδ' ἔλθοι κακόν. εἰ μόλοι suggests, in conformity with the origin of the optative condition, $\mu \dot{\gamma}$ μόλοι. See A. J. P. III 436. 543 ο \dot{v} σε δαίσομεν for οἱ σ' ἐσάξομεν. 565 κα ἀ τ $\tilde{\varphi}$ μὲν οἰμαι κτέ. 574 νομοῖς for δόμοις. 617 δυσπετή for δυσμενή. 648 καὶ πατέρα πανδίκως αν ήγοίμην όμοῦ. 666 $o\vec{v}$ δ' $\dot{\epsilon}\mu o\tilde{v}$ $\tau v \chi \dot{\omega} v$ $\kappa \tau \dot{\epsilon}$. 667 $\mu \dot{\epsilon}\lambda \omega$ for $\lambda \dot{\epsilon}\gamma \omega$. 669–72 $\mu \dot{\alpha}\tau \eta v$ — $\beta a \rho \dot{v}$ are obelized; 673-4 παῦσαι κτέ. | λώβαις for ὧ παῖ κτέ. 687-8 πόλεως μὲν ἀρχάς, πολυπλέθρους δὲ καὶ γυάς: | λήψη δὲ πατρὸς ἄ περ ἐδεξάμην πάρα. 690 to be put after 69Ι (χαίρεις δρῶν φῶς κτέ.) 7Ι3 μάσσον' for μείζον'. 720 μνήστεν' ἔτ' ἄ λ λ α ς or μν. πλείους. 724 οὐκ οὖν γελᾶς γε, τόνδε βαστάζων νεκρόν; 728 τήνδε δ' ηὖρες ἄφρονα for τήνδ' ἐφηῦρες ἄφρονα, in which the asyndeton and the compound are both better than what S. gives us. 702 $\pi \acute{a}\nu \tau a$ for $\tau a\tilde{\nu}\tau a$. 808 \acute{a} $\tau \tilde{a}\nu$ for $\tau \acute{a}\lambda a \nu$. 812 σύνοικον for $\tau \iota \nu$ ' οὖσαν. 814 $\tau \, \mathring{\varphi} \, \delta$ ' οὐ θυραίων $\pi \eta \mu \acute{a}\tau \omega \nu \, \mathring{a} \, \rho$ ' $\mathring{\eta} \, \nu$ $\ddot{o} \tau \lambda o \varsigma$ or $\ddot{o} \delta'$ où $\theta v \rho \alpha i \omega v \pi$. $\dot{a} \lambda \gamma \varepsilon \tilde{\iota} \pi \dot{o} \tau \mu \omega$. The text as it stands reads $\ddot{o} \delta'$ où θυραίων πημάτων άρχει λόγος. 'This speech opens with no foreign woes.' Cf. Tro. 983 τοὖνομ' ὀρθῶς ἀφροσύνης ἄρχει θεᾶς. Every time the servant begins to tell his tale he has something to say that contradicts the statement, 805 yvvn θυραῖος ή θανοῦσα. This recurring ἀρχή forces itself on the hero. 826 κάνέστρεφον for άλλ' ήσθόμην. 827 κουράν τ' ἄχει προσφδόν. 828 κεῖνος ἐκφέρειν νεκρόν for κήδος εἰς τάφον φέρειν. 844 πεινῶντα for πίνοντα. 857 to be deleted. 866 νεκύων ἄγαμαι for κείνων ἔραμαι. 906 εὐ τλάς for ἔμπας. 907 ἄχος for ἄλις. 930 σε φίλα for φιλίαν. 1005 φωναί for φᾶμαι. 1009 στέγειν for ἔχειν. 1045 μή με. μιμνήσκεις κακῶν to be omitted, and 1046 ἄδακρυς εἶναι, and then with transposition τήνδ' ὁ ρ ã ν έν δώμασιν | οὐκ αν δυναίμην μη νοσούντι κτέ. 1055 ἐμβήσας. 1058 συνευνέτιν for εὐεργέτιν. 1070 έγω μεν οὐ λέγοιμ' ἄν, εὖ σ' ἔχειν $\tau \dot{\nu} \chi \eta \varsigma$, | $\chi \rho \dot{\gamma} \delta$ ', $\ddot{\sigma} \sigma \iota \sigma \varsigma \varepsilon \dot{\iota} \sigma \dot{\nu}$, καρτερεῖν θεοῦ δόσιν (with an interesting list of ellipses of εἰ). 1087 νέοι γάμοι πόθου for Guttentag's νέος γάμος πόθου. 1097 τήνδε γ' εὐνιαίειν δόμων. 1125 θέα τις εμπαίζει τάχα. 1152 ἀπέρχεσθαι for ἐπείγεσθαι.

Medea 49 οἰκούρημα for οἴκων κτῆμα. 77 τοῖσδε δὴ παισὶν for τοῖσδε δώμασιν. 143 παραθελγομένη for παραθαλπομένη, with a long list of passages where θέλγειν and θάλπειν are confounded. 182 γὰρ τάδ' for καὶ τάδ'; 240 οἴοις μάλιστα τ έρ ψ εται συνευνέτης. 301 στυγερός for λυπρός. 319–20 suspected. 325 οὐ γὰρ ἐμὲ πείσεις ποτέ. (We hope nobody will be so cruel as to quote Ar. Plut. 600 οὐ γὰρ πείσεις οὐδ' ἢν πείσης.) 334 σοῦ πλέον κεκτήμεθα for κοὐ πόνων κεχρήμεθα. 382 φόνους χερὶ ῥάπτουσα for δόμους ὑπερβαίνουσα. 384–5 are cut down to κράτιστα τλῆν αι φαρμάκοις αὐτοὺς έλεῖν. 533 μ' ὅνησας. 540 ὅροις ἐν ψ κεις. 545 τῶν γε σῶν πόνων πέρι. 606 μῶν ἄλλον σ' ἕν εκα προδοῦσ' ἐγώ. 780 μὴ ἐλᾶν for μεῖναι. 863–4 οὐ τὼ ζ ξ ἔμοῦ γὰρ παῖδ' ἐσόψεταί ποτε | ζῶντ' ἐς τὸ λοιπὸν οὐ δ ὲ τῆς νεοζύγου. 955 ἐκ γόνοις ἐμός. 1079 νέων for ἐμῶν. 1108 σώματ' ἐς ἣβην δ' ἤλυθε. 1110 δαίμων ἕτ ερος, φροῦ δ' εἰς "Λιδην | θαλερῶν κτέ. | πῶς ὰν λύοι; 1188 λιπαροί for λεπτοί. 1291 γένος for λέχος. 1327 ἔτι for τε. 1338 νηλεῶς for λέχος. 1382 μεῖον for λύει. 1370 οἶ δ' for οἴδ', and again ν. 1371. 1374 κνίζη for στυγῆ. 1388 τίνων for ἰδών.

The motto prefixed to the collection is taken from Stob. Flor. XXIX 26, but with a modest emendation. Stobaeus has $\tilde{a}\pi a v \theta^{\prime}$ \dot{b} $\tau o \tilde{v}$ $\zeta \eta \tau o \tilde{v} v \tau o \varepsilon$ $\dot{v} \rho i \sigma \kappa \epsilon \iota \tau$ Professor Schmidt reads, with a slight but significant variation, $o \dot{v}$ $\pi \dot{a} v \theta^{\prime}$ \dot{b} $\tau o \tilde{v}$ $\zeta \eta \tau o \tilde{v} v \tau o \varepsilon$ $\kappa \tau \dot{\varepsilon}$. Well and good, so long as there is real $\pi \dot{o} v o \varepsilon$ and not toying conjecture merely.

B. L. G.

The Sacred Books of the East. Translated by various Oriental scholars and edited by F. Max Müller. Vol. XXXI. Oxford, 1887.

The Zend-Avesta. Part III. The Yasna, Visparad, Âfrînagân, Gâhs, and Miscellaneous Fragments. Translated by L. H. MILLS.

During the last ten years, active and very successful efforts have been made in the criticism and interpretation of the Old Iranian religious book, the Zend-Avesta, the Bible of the Parsees. The present volume of Mr. Mills is a new and very welcome proof of this fact. It finishes the treatment of the Avesta in the series of the "Sacred Books of the East," ed. by F. Max Müller, thus completing the well known translation of the Vendidad and Yashts by Mr. T. Darmesteter.¹

Dr. Mills, who has now given us the translation of the Yasna, Visparad, Âfrînagân, and Gâhs, was led by his researches into Gnostic philosophy to devote himself to the study of the Avesta, and more particularly of the Gâthâs, for the principal traits of the ancient Zoroastrian faith are most prominent in these hymns, which are not very extensive, but constitute the most ancient part of the Zend-Avesta. Dr. Mills 2 went to Germany for this purpose, and spent more than ten years in collecting and studying all accessible materials for a translation of the Gâthâs. He has been in communication with almost every Zend scholar of note in Germany, France, England, and India, and has thus become so familiar with the different views of the two opposing schools of

¹ See A. J. P. II 322 foll.

² For a detailed account of Dr. Mills's studies see A. J. P. III 499 foll.